

Spinor bundles for Hamiltonian loop group spaces

Eckhard Meinrenken
with Yiannis Loizides and Yanli Song

Waseda University
June 2017

Outline

- ① Definition of Hamiltonian loop group spaces
- ② Correspondence with quasi-Hamiltonian spaces
- ③ Background on spinor bundles
- ④ Spinor bundles for loop group spaces
- ⑤ Twisted spin-c structures for quasi-Hamiltonian spaces
- ⑥ Abelianizations

Hamiltonian loop group spaces

- G compact Lie group, $\mathfrak{g} = \text{Lie}(G)$
- $LG = \text{Map}(S^1, G)$ **loop group** (Sobolev class $s > 1/2$)
- $L\mathfrak{g} = \Omega_{(s)}^0(S^1, \mathfrak{g})$
- $\mathcal{A} = \Omega_{(s-1)}^1(S^1, \mathfrak{g})$, with **gauge action**

$$g.\mu = \text{Ad}_g(\mu) - dg \ g^{-1}$$

of the loop group.

- invariant metric on \mathfrak{g} , identify $\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}^*$ and $\mathcal{A} \cong L\mathfrak{g}^*$.

Hamiltonian loop group spaces

Definition

A Hamiltonian loop group space $(\mathcal{M}, \omega, \Psi)$ is an LG -equivariant **weakly** symplectic Banach manifold, with an LG -equivariant moment map $\Psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ satisfying

$$\iota(\xi_{\mathcal{M}})\omega = -d\langle \Psi, \xi \rangle, \quad \xi \in L\mathfrak{g}.$$

Examples

- Coadjoint orbits $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{A}$
- Moduli spaces of flat connections $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g^1)$
- etc.

Hamiltonian loop group spaces

Every proper Hamiltonian LG -space $(\mathcal{M}, \omega, \Psi)$ determines a **quasi-Hamiltonian G -space** (M, σ, Φ) with invariant 2-form σ and equivariant moment map

$$\Phi: M \rightarrow G$$

satisfying certain axioms, including

$$\iota(X_M)\sigma = -\Phi^*\left(\frac{1}{2}(\theta^L + \theta^R) \cdot X\right), \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

Examples

- $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{A}$ coadjoint orbit $\leftrightarrow M = \mathcal{C} \subset G$ conjugacy class.
- $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}(\Sigma_g^1)$ moduli space $\leftrightarrow M = \text{Hom}(\pi_1(\Sigma_g^1), G) \cong G^{2g}$.

Hamiltonian loop group spaces

Correspondence:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{P}G & & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{P}G/G & & G = \mathcal{P}G/LG \end{array}$$

$$\mathcal{P}G = \{\gamma: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G \mid \gamma(t+1)\gamma(t)^{-1} = \text{const}\}$$

$$p(\gamma) = \gamma^{-1}\partial\gamma, \quad q(\gamma) = \gamma(1)\gamma(0)^{-1}.$$

Both arrows are principal bundles.

Fact: $\exists \varpi \in \Omega^2(\mathcal{P}G)^{LG \times G}$ such that for $\xi \in L\mathfrak{g}$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$,

$$\iota(\xi_{\mathcal{P}G})\varpi = p^*(\langle d\mu, \xi \rangle), \quad \iota(X_{\mathcal{P}G})\varpi = q^*\left(\frac{1}{2}(\theta^L + \theta^R) \cdot X\right)$$

while $d\varpi$ is LG -basic and G -basic.

Hamiltonian loop group spaces

The correspondence

proper Hamiltonian LG -spaces \leftrightarrow quasi-Hamiltonian G -spaces

is described by pullback diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N} & & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ (\mathcal{M}, \omega, \Psi) & & (M, \sigma, \Phi) \end{array}$$

with $q^*\sigma - p^*\omega = \Psi_{\mathcal{N}}^*\varpi$.

Example (Coadjoint orbits \leftrightarrow Conjugacy classes)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N} = (LG \times G). \gamma & & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ \mathcal{O} = LG.\mu & & \mathcal{C} = G.a \end{array}$$

Quasi-Hamiltonian spaces

Some other examples of quasi-Hamiltonian spaces:

Example (Multiplicity-free examples)

- $SU(n) \circlearrowleft S^{2n}$ (Jeffrey-Hurtubise-Sjamaar),
- $Sp(n) \circlearrowleft \text{Gr}_{\mathbb{H}}(k, n+1)$ (Eshmatov, Knop, Paulus)
- $SU(3) \circlearrowleft M$ with moment polytope  (Woodward)
- Many other examples (Knop, Paulus)

Example

Wild character varieties (Boalch)

Further examples are obtained using various natural operations.
Each corresponds to Hamiltonian LG -space.

Claims

Claim: Every proper Hamiltonian LG -space $(\mathcal{M}, \omega, \Psi)$ has a canonical LG -equivariant Spin_c -structure.

In more detail:

Claims:

- ① \exists completion $\overline{T\mathcal{M}}$ on which ω is **strongly** symplectic.
- ② \exists invariant compatible complex structure J on $\overline{T\mathcal{M}}$, in a distinguished ‘polarization’ class.
- ③ \rightsquigarrow spinor module $\mathcal{S}_{\overline{T\mathcal{M}}} = \overline{\wedge T^{(0,1)}\mathcal{M}}$ over $\mathbb{C}I(\overline{T\mathcal{M}})$.

Claims

As for the associated quasi-Hamiltonian space:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{N} & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}/G & & M = \mathcal{N}/LG \end{array}$$

Claim: \exists 'distinguished' $\widehat{LG} \times G$ -equivariant Spin_c -structure on $q^*(TM)$.

Here

$$1 \rightarrow U(1) \rightarrow \widehat{LG} \rightarrow LG \rightarrow 1$$

is the **spin central extension** of the loop group.

We think of this as a **twisted** Spin_c -structure on M .

Background: spinor bundles

- (\mathcal{V}, g) Euclidian vector bundle, $\text{rank}(\mathcal{V}) < \infty$ even.
- $\mathbb{C}I(\mathcal{V})$ its \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded Clifford bundle

Definition

A **Spin_c-structure** on \mathcal{V} is a \mathbb{Z}_2 -graded **spinor module**

$$\mathbb{C}I(\mathcal{V}) \circlearrowleft \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{V}}$$

i.e. $\mathbb{C}I(\mathcal{V}) \cong \text{End}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{V}})$. If $\mathcal{V} = TM$ call it Spin_c-structure on M .

- ① Any two differ by a line bundle $L = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}I(\mathcal{V})}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{S}'_{\mathcal{V}})$.
- ② *Two-out-of-three* for $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{V}' \oplus \mathcal{V}''$: E.g.,

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{V}''} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}I(\mathcal{V}')}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{V}'}, \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{V}}).$$

- ③ Orthogonal complex structure J on $\mathcal{V} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{V}} = \wedge(\mathcal{V})^{0,1}$.

Background: spinor bundles

In ∞ dimensions, it's not so easy.

Background: spinor bundles

- (\mathcal{V}, g) separable real Hilbert space
- J orthogonal complex structure \rightsquigarrow spinor module
 $\mathbb{C}\mathrm{I}(\mathcal{V}) \odot \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{V}} = \overline{\wedge \mathcal{V}^{0,1}}$
- \sim equality of bounded operators modulo Hilbert-Schmidt

Theorem (Araki, Shale-Stinespring, others)

*The spinor modules for J, J' are isomorphic if and only if $J \sim J'$.
In this case, $L = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\mathrm{I}}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{V}}, \mathcal{S}'_{\mathcal{V}})$ is 1-dimensional.*

Consequence: central extension $\widehat{\mathrm{O}_{res}(\mathcal{V})}$ of

$$\mathrm{O}_{res}(\mathcal{V}) = \{g \in \mathrm{O}(\mathcal{V}) \mid g^* J \sim J\}.$$

See also Kac-Peterson ('81), Kostant-Sternberg ('87).

Background: spinor bundles

Application:

Let $\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g} = L^2\text{-loops in } \mathfrak{g}$. Fourier decomposition:

$$\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g}^- \oplus \mathfrak{g}^{\mathbb{C}} \oplus \mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g}^+$$

- ~ \rightsquigarrow orthogonal complex structure J on $\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}$
- ~ \rightsquigarrow spinor module $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}}$

One finds (cf. Pressley-Segal) $LG \subset O_{res}(\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g})$.

- ~ \rightsquigarrow central extension $\widehat{LG} \circlearrowleft \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}}$

Let $\widehat{LG}^{\text{spin}}$ = opposite central extension; for G 1-connected, simple get central extension at level h^\vee = dual Coxeter number.

Background: compatible complex structures

- \mathcal{V} separable real Hilbert space
- ω **strongly** symplectic form on \mathcal{V}

Definition

A complex structure $J \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{V})$ is **compatible** with ω if $g(\cdot, \cdot) = \omega(J \cdot, \cdot)$ is a Riemannian metric.

Proposition (YLEMYS)

Let J_0, J_1 be ω -compatible complex structures, with metrics g_0, g_1 . Suppose $J_0 \sim J_1$. Then

- J_0, J_1 homotopic through family of ω -compatible complex structures $J_0 \sim J_t$.
- $A_t := (-J_t \circ J_0)^{1/2}$ is defined, and takes g_0 to g_t .

Hamiltonian loop group spaces

Consider first the case of coadjoint orbits $\mathcal{O} \subset \mathcal{A} = L\mathfrak{g}^*$.

$$\omega(\xi_{\mathcal{O}}, \zeta_{\mathcal{O}})|_{\mu} = \int_{S^1} \partial_{\mu} \xi \cdot \zeta,$$

$$J = \partial_{\mu} |\partial_{\mu}|^{-1}, \quad g(\xi_{\mathcal{O}}, \zeta_{\mathcal{O}})|_{\mu} = \int_{S^1} |\partial_{\mu}| \xi \cdot \zeta,$$

on $T_{\mu}\mathcal{O} \cong L\mathfrak{g}_{\mu}^{\perp} \subset L\mathfrak{g}$. Here $\partial_{\mu} = \partial + \text{ad}_{\mu}$.

Let $\overline{L\mathfrak{g}}$ be the loops of Sobolev class $\frac{1}{2}$, and $\overline{T_{\mu}\mathcal{O}} \subset \overline{L\mathfrak{g}}$ completion.

ω, J, g extends to $\overline{T\mathcal{O}}$. The 2-form ω becomes **strongly** symplectic, and g **strongly** Riemannian.

Hamiltonian loop group spaces

This works in general:

Theorem (YLEMYS)

Let $(\mathcal{M}, \omega, \Psi)$ be proper Hamiltonian LG-space. Then

- ① ω becomes *strongly symplectic* on $\overline{T\mathcal{M}} = (T\mathcal{M} \times \overline{L\mathfrak{g}})/L\mathfrak{g}$.
- ② \exists ω -compatible LG-invariant J on $\overline{T\mathcal{M}}$, within a polarization class (Hilbert-Schmidt equivalence) determined by $L\mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \overline{T_x\mathcal{M}}$
- ③ \rightsquigarrow LG-equivariant spinor module (essentially unique)

$$\mathbb{C}I(\overline{T\mathcal{M}}) \circlearrowleft S_{\overline{T\mathcal{M}}}.$$

Q: What about the associated quasi-Hamiltonian space M ?

Quasi-Hamiltonian spaces

Recall the correspondence

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & PG & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ \mathcal{A} = PG/G & & G = PG/LG \end{array}$$

Fact: \exists invariant principal connections for both bundles, which extend to invariant splittings of completions:

$$p^* \overline{T\mathcal{A}} \oplus \overline{\mathfrak{g}} \cong \overline{T(PG)} \cong q^* TG \oplus \overline{L\mathfrak{g}}$$

Quasi-Hamiltonian spaces

Pull-back \rightsquigarrow invariant principal connections on

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{N} & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}/G & & M = \mathcal{N}/LG \end{array}$$

Ascent: Connection for p gives

$$(p^*\overline{T\mathcal{M}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{g} \cong \overline{T\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}.$$

Complex structure on $\overline{T\mathcal{M}}$ \rightsquigarrow complex structure on $\overline{T\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathfrak{g} \rightsquigarrow$ spinor module

$$\mathbb{C}\mathrm{I}(\overline{T\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}) \circlearrowleft \mathcal{S}_{\overline{T\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}}.$$

Quasi-Hamiltonian spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N} & & \\ p \searrow & & \swarrow q \\ \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}/G & & M = \mathcal{N}/LG \end{array}$$

$$\mathbb{C}\text{I}(\overline{T\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}) \circlearrowleft \mathcal{S}_{\overline{T\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}}.$$

Descent: Connection for q gives

$$\overline{T\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathfrak{g} = (q^* TM \oplus \overline{L\mathfrak{g}}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}.$$

Use $\mathbb{C}\text{I}(\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}) \circlearrowleft \mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}}$ and two-out-of-three to conclude:

Twisted Spin_c-structure

$$\mathcal{S}_{q^* TM} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{I}(\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g})}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{S}_{\overline{T\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}})$$

$\widehat{LG}^{\text{Spin}} \times G\text{-equivariantly.}$ **Not so fast....**

Quasi-Hamiltonian spaces

To define

$$\mathcal{S}_{q^* TM} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{-I}(\mathbf{Lg} \oplus \mathfrak{g})}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{Lg} \oplus \mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{S}_{\overline{T\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}}),$$

where

$$\overline{T\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathfrak{g} = q^* TM \oplus (\overline{L\mathfrak{g}} \oplus \mathfrak{g})$$

we need an *equivariant* isomorphism $\mathcal{N} \times \overline{L\mathfrak{g}} \cong \mathcal{N} \times \mathbf{Lg}$. We use family

$$\chi(|\partial_\mu|^{1/2}) : \overline{L\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Lg}$$

for $\mu \in \mathcal{A}$, where $\chi(t) > 0$ for all t , with $\chi(t) = t$ for large t .

Quasi-Hamiltonian spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \mathcal{N} & \\ p \swarrow & & \searrow q \\ \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{N}/G & & M = \mathcal{N}/LG \end{array}$$

The resulting isomorphism

$$\overline{T\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathfrak{g} = q^* TM \oplus (\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g})$$

'almost' preserves metrics. Now it works:

Twisted Spin_c -structure on M

$$\mathcal{S}_{q^* TM} := \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}\text{I}(\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g})}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{L}\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}}, \mathcal{S}_{\overline{T\mathcal{N}} \oplus \mathfrak{g}})$$

$\widehat{LG}^{\text{Spin}}$ $\times G$ -equivariantly.

Quasi-Hamiltonian spaces

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N} & & \widehat{LG}^{\text{Spin}} \times G\text{-equivariant spinor module} \\ \downarrow q & & \\ M = \mathcal{N}/LG & & \mathbb{C}\text{I}(q^* TM) \circlearrowleft \mathcal{S}_{q^* TM} \end{array}$$

- By construction, the spinor module $\mathbb{C}\text{I}(q^* TM) \circlearrowleft \mathcal{S}_{q^* TM}$ is independent of choices, up to homotopy.
- For $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{O}$ and $M = \mathcal{C}$, can make all choices canonical.
- There are examples of G -conjugacy classes that don't admit Spin_c -structures. For example for $G = \text{Spin}(7)$ or $G = F_4$.
- Local trivializations of $q \rightsquigarrow$ local Spin_c -structures on M , related by 'transition line bundles'.

Abelianization: Hamiltonian G -spaces

Consider first the case of ordinary Hamiltonian G -spaces (M, ω, Φ) with moment map $\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}^*$.

- Let $T \subset G$ maximal torus.
- Choose positive roots $\rightsquigarrow T$ -invariant complex structure on $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}$.

Suppose Φ is transverse to \mathfrak{t}^* .

$X = \Phi^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ inherits a T -equivariant Spin_c -structure

$$\mathcal{S}_{TX} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C} I(\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t})}(\mathcal{S}_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}}, \mathcal{S}_{TM}|_X)$$

using $TM|_X = TX \oplus \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}$.

$$\text{index}_G(S_{TM} \otimes L)|_T = \frac{\text{index}_T(S_{TX} \otimes L|_X)}{\prod_{\alpha > 0} (1 - t^{-\alpha})}$$

Abelianization: Hamiltonian LG -spaces

To repeat for loop groups, we need $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{Lg}/\mathbf{t}}$.

- Positive roots $\rightsquigarrow T$ -invariant complex structure on \mathbf{Lg}/\mathbf{t} .
- Lattice $\Lambda = \ker(\exp_T)$ is subgroup $\Lambda \subset LG$, preserves $\mathbf{t} \subset \mathbf{Lg}$.
- $\rightsquigarrow \Lambda$ acts on \mathbf{Lg}/\mathbf{t} , in fact $\Lambda \subset O_{\text{res}}(\mathbf{Lg}/\mathbf{t})$.
- $\rightsquigarrow T \ltimes \widehat{\Lambda}$ -equivariant spinor module $\mathcal{S}_{\mathbf{Lg}/\mathbf{t}}$.

Abelianization: Hamiltonian LG -spaces

$(\mathcal{M}, \omega, \Psi)$ proper Hamiltonian LG -space, $\mathbb{C}I(\overline{T\mathcal{M}}) \circlearrowleft \mathcal{S}_{\overline{T\mathcal{M}}}$.

Suppose $\Psi: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} = L\mathfrak{g}^*$ is transverse to $\mathfrak{t} \cong \mathfrak{t}^*$.

Then $X = \Psi^{-1}(\mathfrak{t}^*)$ is finite-dimensional pre-symplectic Hamiltonian $T \times \Lambda$ -manifold, with $T \ltimes \widehat{\Lambda}$ -equivariant Spin_c -structure

$$\mathbb{C}I(TX) \circlearrowleft \mathcal{S}_{TX} = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}I(L\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t})}(\mathcal{S}_{L\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{t}}, \mathcal{S}_{\overline{T\mathcal{M}}})$$

Given $\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$, get well-defined index (finite T -multiplicities)

$$\text{index}_T(S_{TX} \otimes \mathcal{L}|_X) \in R^{-\infty}(T);$$

can use this to ‘define’ $\text{index}_{LG}(\mathcal{S}_{\overline{T\mathcal{M}}} \otimes \mathcal{L})$.

Thanks.